

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/992,811	11/1	19/2001	Shawn Buchanan Greene	16911-8484	7339
21888	7590	06/01/2004		EXAMINER	
THOMPSO	ON COBUR	N, LLP	CUFF, MICHAEL A		
ONE US BA	ANK PLAZA)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS, MO 63101				3627	
				DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			//				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/992,811	GREENE, SHAWN BUCHANAN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael Cuff	3627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONED	vely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2004.						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 32-43</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 32-43</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	s have been received. s have been received in Application	on No				
	application from the International Bureau	•	3				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	d.				
Attachme-	t(c)	•					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
_	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 5) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
·	Indexed Office	-, <u>-</u>					

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "said desirable number". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 8-18, 32-38, 40 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griner et al. in view of Walker et al. (5,945,653).

Griner et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying a POS and the opportunity for prospective event ticket purchasers to pre-purchase a recording copy.

Griner et al. shows, figure 1, a system and method of creating digital recordings of live performances to be available shortly after the event has ended. (abstract) There is an event capture module 100 (inherently conducting an event), an event recording system 98 (recording the event), and a media recording module 300 (manufacturing copies). Page 6, paragraph 0065 states, "significant numbers of recordings can be

Art Unit: 3627

available immediately upon the conclusion of the performance." (inherently, there is a plan for distributing copies). Paragraph 13 on pages 1 and 2 states, "performing artists and record companies may have to derive a greater percentage of their income from live performances. In addition to the revenue generated by ticket prices, a large portion of that income will likely come from concert merchandise, such as the T-shirts and posters now available at nearly every live performance." (inherently, there is the sale of tickets and merchandise.)

Walker et al. teaches, column 17, lines 55-63, POS terminals where the actual functions that are to affect transactions may be set be merchants such as prepurchased discounts as purchasing incentives and the like in order to increase revenue. (a POS and the opportunity for prospective event ticket purchasers to pre-purchase a recording copy)

Based on the teaching of Walker et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Griner et al. system to incorporate the POS system of Walker et al. in order to increase revenue.

Claims 6, 7, 39, and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griner et al. and Walker et al. (5,945,653) in further view of Stonedahl. (Note that the claimed subject matter in these claims is restricted to the filing date of the application.)

The combination of Griner et al. and Walker et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying determining and calculating the number of copies.

Art Unit: 3627

Stonedahl shows, figure 1, a system for capturing and distributing event recordings. Figure 1 shows a live event system 300 (conducting live event). There is a central recording module 105 (recording live event). The attendee of the live event 101 uses a small handheld selection device 102, supplied to them by the event management to make the selections during the event (pre-purchased at event POS; page 3, second column, bottom). The selection device may relay information to an order processing system 111. The order collection system uses the information to generate production orders (calculating number of copies) that are formatted for use by a production facility 113 (manufacturing; page 3, first column, middle). The custom CD or other media can be delivered (distributing) to the attendee in as little as a matter of hours (cut off time/date) after the event. The system contains "local event centers" (box office, POS) at or near the event venue and "remote event centers" which are accessible by network connections, a web site interface, data or voice phone line connections, or by a physical store front (capable of receiving mail) or automated sales kiosk (page 3, first column, top). Customers can initiate the purchase process before, during and after the live event.

Based on the teaching of Stonedahl, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the combination of Griner et al. and Walker et al. system to incorporate the order collection system (calculating and determining) of Stonedahl in order to help a production facility.

Art Unit: 3627

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (703) 308-0610. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael laff 5/27/04
Michael Cuff
May 27

May 27, 2004